

1 Lexi J. Hazam (SBN 224457)
2 *lhazam@lchb.com*
3 LIEFF CABRASER HEIMAN
& BERNSTEIN, LLP
4 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339
Telephone: (415) 956-1000
Facsimile: (415) 956-100

5 Christopher A. Seeger (*pro hac vice*)
6 *cseeger@seegerweiss.com*
7 SEEGER WEISS, LLP
8 55 Challenger Road, 6th Floor
Ridgefield Park, NJ 07660
Telephone: (973) 639-9100
Facsimile: (973) 679-8656

9 Previn Warren (*pro hac vice*)
10 *pwarren@motleyrice.com*
11 MOTLEY RICE LLC
12 401 9th Street NW, Suite 630
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 386-9610
Facsimile: (202) 232-5513

13
14 *Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel (Additional Counsel on*
15 *Signature Page)*

16
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19
20 IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT
21 ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY
22 PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-MD-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

23 This Document Relates to:
24 ALL ACTIONS

25
26
27
28 **UNOPPOSED MOTION REGARDING
APPOINTMENTS OF GUARDIANS
*AD LITEM***

1 **I. Introduction**

2 All minor plaintiffs in MDL No. 3047 must have an appointed guardian *ad litem* or
 3 equivalent. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(2); C.R. by & Through Russell v. City of San Diego*, No.
 4 19CV1401-W(RBB), 2019 WL 4277399, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 10, 2019) (“[I]t is the general
 5 practice of this court and others to appoint a guardian *ad litem* in cases involving minors even
 6 when the minor’s parent represents the minor’s interests in the lawsuit.”). Therefore, in Case
 7 Management Order, No. 1, this Court ordered Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel to “develop a
 8 streamlined process for the appointment of guardians *ad litem* for Plaintiffs who require one.”
 9 (ECF No. 75 at 3.) This Court further instructed the Parties to consult the standard requirements
 10 used in California state court and advised that, consistent with California practice, the Court is
 11 willing to presumptively appoint a parent or legal guardian. *Id.* Based on these directions, and in
 12 light of applicable law and rules, Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead and Liaison Counsel propose the following
 13 procedures.

14 **II. Governing Law**

15 Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, “[a] minor or an incompetent person
 16 who does not have a duly appointed representative may sue by a next friend or by a guardian *ad*
 17 *litem.*” Fed. R. Civ. P. 17(c)(2). Therefore, the “court **must** appoint a guardian *ad litem*—or
 18 issue another appropriate order—to protect a minor or incompetent person who is unrepresented
 19 in an action.” *Id.* (emphasis applied).¹

20 The capacity to sue is determined by the law of the individual plaintiff’s domicile. Fed. R.
 21 Civ. P. 17(b)(1). For example, in California, an individual under the age of eighteen is a minor
 22 without the capacity to sue in California. *See Cal. Fam. Code § 6502.*

23 The decision to appoint a guardian *ad litem* under Rule 17(c) is at the sound discretion of
 24 the trial court and is typically made on an *ex parte* application. *See A.G.*, 2018 WL 2002370,
 25

26

¹ California law also provides that a minor “shall appear either by a guardian or conservator of the
 27 estate or by a guardian *ad litem* appointed by the court in which the action or proceeding is
 28 pending, or by a judge thereof.” Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 372(a). Although instructive, this
 procedural state statute is not binding in federal court. *A.G. v. South Bay Dreams Coop.*, No. 16-
 cv-02598, 2018 WL 2002370, at *1 n.1 (S.D. Cal. April 30, 2018).

1 at *2 (citing *Davis v. Walker*, 745 F.3d 1303, 1310 (9th Cir. 2014) and *Student A v. Berkeley*
 2 *Unified Sch. Dist.*, No. 17-CV-02510-MEJ, 2017 WL 2171254, at *1 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 2017)
 3 (“When there is no conflict of interest, the guardian *ad litem* appointment is usually made on *ex*
 4 *parte* application and involves minimal exercise of discretion by the trial court.”).

5 “Fit parents are presumed to act in the best interests of their children.” *J.B. by & Through*
 6 *Billiet v. Tuolumne Cnty. Superintendent of Schools*, No. 19-cv-0858-NONE-EPG, 2021 WL
 7 3115195, at *2 (E.D. Cal. July 22, 2021) (citing *Troxel v. Granville*, 530 U.S. 57, 66 (2000); *Doe*
 8 *v. Heck*, 327 F.3d 492, 521 (7th Cir. 2003)); *see also Brown v. Alexander*, No. 13-cv-01451-RS,
 9 2015 WL 7350183, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2015) (“In general, a parent who is also a party to
 10 the lawsuit is presumed to be a suitable guardian *ad litem*, and so the court often appoints the
 11 parent as guardian *ad litem* upon receipt of an *ex parte* application without exercising much
 12 discretion.”) (citation omitted). Absent a conflict of interest, “[a] parent is generally appointed
 13 guardian *ad litem*.” *A.G.*, 2018 WL 2002370, at *3 (citing *Anthem Life Ins. Co. v. Olguin*, No.
 14 1:06-cv-01165-AWI NEW (TAG), 2007 WL 1390672, at *3 (E.D. Cal. May 9, 2007)); *accord*
 15 *J.M. v. Liberty Union High Sch. Dist.*, Case No. 16-cv-05225-LB, 2016 SL 4942999, at *2 (N.D.
 16 Cal. Sept. 16, 2016). However, “[w]hen there is a potential conflict between a perceived parental
 17 responsibility and an obligation to assist the court in achieving a just and speedy determination of
 18 the action, a court has the right to select a guardian *ad litem* who is not a parent if that guardian
 19 would best protect the child’s interests.” *J.M.*, 2016 WL 4942999, at *1 (internal quotation marks
 20 omitted).

21 III. Proposed Procedures

22 Plaintiffs submit herewith a [Proposed] Order Regarding Applications for Appointments
 23 of Guardians *Ad Litem* (“Proposed Order”).

24 A. Cases Pending in the MDL on the Date Proposed Order Is Entered

25 The Proposed Order will require that all individual plaintiffs who are minors—in that they
 26 lack the capacity to sue in the state where they are domiciled—and whose cases have been filed
 27 or transferred to this MDL, submit to Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel, within 30 days the Court
 28 adopting the Proposed Order, (a) an *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardian *Ad Litem*

1 (“Application”), an example of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A,² or (b) an order
 2 demonstrating that a guardian, conservator, or other representative authorized to represent the
 3 minor’s interest in this case has already been appointed by a state or federal court.

4 The proposed Application requires the following information be submitted under penalty
 5 of perjury:

6 1. The applicant’s name and contact information (including address, email, and
 7 telephone number);

8 2. The name, case number, state of domicile (and its minimum age of capacity), date
 9 of birth, and attorney of record of the minor plaintiff whom the applicant seeks to represent;

10 3. The applicant’s relationship to the minor plaintiff (e.g., parent or legal guardian, or
 11 a statement of the applicant’s relationship to the minor plaintiff);

12 4. A statement affirming that the applicant is fully competent and qualified to
 13 understand and protect the rights of the minor plaintiff and has no interests adverse to the interests
 14 of that person.

15 Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel shall compile the completed Applications and submit them to
 16 the Court for consideration in a Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of Guardians
 17 *Ad Litem* (“Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application”). Applications submitted by parents or legal
 18 guardians shall be deemed presumptively approved upon filing. This litigation presents no
 19 apparent conflict between the applicants’ parental responsibility and their obligation to assist the
 20 Court in “achieving a just and speedy determination of the action.” *J.M.*, 2016 WL 4942999, at
 21 *2. Absent the filing of an objection, the presumptive approval shall become final 15 days after
 22 the Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application is filed. Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel shall provide a
 23 consolidated proposed order to the Court appointing those approved applicants.

24 For applicants who are not the minor plaintiff’s parent or guardian, the Applications shall
 25 be taken under consideration by the Court. Any objection must be filed within 15 days of the
 26 filing of the Consolidated *Ex Parte* Application.

28 ² Exhibit A is modeled on Judicial Counsel of California (“JCC”) Form Civ-010. A copy JCC
 Form Civ-010 is attached as Exhibit B for reference.

1 Should a minor plaintiff not propose a guardian *ad litem* within 30 days of the Proposed
2 Order being entered, Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel shall work with counsel for the minor plaintiff
3 and/or the Court to identify a suitable candidate. *See Anthem Life Ins. Co.*, 2007 WL 1390672, at
4 *2 ("If a close relative is unavailable and the child has no conflict-free general representative, the
5 court may appoint a friend of the plaintiff or his family, a professional who has worked with the
6 child, or in desperate circumstances, a stranger whom the court finds to be especially suitable for
7 representing the child's interests").

B. Cases Subsequently Filed or Transferred to the MDL

9 Minor plaintiffs whose cases are later filed or transferred to this MDL shall file on the
10 MDL docket within 30 days their appearance (a) an *Ex Parte* Application for Appointment of
11 Guardian *Ad Litem* (“Application”) consistent with Exhibit A, or (b) an order demonstrating that a
12 guardian, conservator, or other representative who is authorized to represent the minor’s interests
13 in this case already been appointed by a state or federal court.

14 The same presumptions and procedures set forth above would apply. Liaison Counsel for
15 Plaintiffs would also remain available to assist the Court going forward.

C. Conclusion

17 The above procedure is compliant with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, tracks
18 California procedures and practices governing regarding applications for guardians *ad litem*, and
19 will streamline the appointment process, especially for applicants who are parents or guardians of
20 the minor plaintiffs. For these reasons, Plaintiffs ask that the motion be granted and the Proposed
21 Order adopted.

22 | Dated: December 13, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Lexi J. Hazam
Lexi J. Hazam

/s/Christopher A. Seeger
Christopher A. Seeger

/s/ *Previn Warren*
Previn Warren

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

/s/ *Jennie Lee Anderson*
Jennie Lee Anderson

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel

SIGNATURE CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(h)(3), I hereby attest that all signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in this filing's content and have authorized this filing.

Dated: December 13, 2022

/s/ *Jennie Lee Anderson*

Jennie Lee Anderson
ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP
155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel. (415) 986-1400
Fax. (415) 986-1474
jennie@andrusanderson.com

Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel